

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
(NORTHERN DIVISION)

BRENDA BARNEY

\*

Plaintiff

\*

v.

\*

DEPT. OF PUBLIC SAFETY ET AL

\*

Civil Action No.  
JFM-02-3330

Defendants

\*

\*

\* \* \* \* \*

**CONSENT MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO RE-OPEN CASE**

On August 19, 2003, the Court, in response to the parties' information that the case had been settled, dismissed the case without prejudice. The Court further ordered that the right to re-open would extend for 30 days before the dismissal became final. At that time, the parties believed that they would be able to implement the settlement agreement (i.e., transfer of the plaintiff from one institution to another). However, the defendant has not yet been able to accomplish this, despite its good faith efforts. Accordingly, the parties mutually request that the right to re-open be deferred for an additional 30 days to allow the defendant additional time to implement the settlement.

/s/

\_\_\_\_\_  
Darren Margolis # 023806  
Bierer & Margolis, P.A.  
926 St. Paul Street  
Baltimore, MD 21202  
(410) 539-5855  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on 9/16/03, a copy of this motion was sent via e-mail to defense counsel: Glenn Marrow, Dept. of Public Safety, 6776 Reisterstown Road, Suite 313, Baltimore, MD 21215.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Darren Margolis

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
(NORTHERN DIVISION)

BRENDA BARNEY

\*

Plaintiff

\*

v.

\*

DEPT. OF PUBLIC SAFETY ET AL

\*

Civil Action No.  
JFM-02-3330

Defendants

\*

\*

\* \* \* \* \*

**ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RE-OPEN CASE**

As the parties need additional time to complete the settlement agreement, it is this \_\_\_\_ day of September 2003, ORDERED that the right to re-open the case shall now extend to October 20, 2003.

---

Judge